

- b. 106v: an accessus to the *Thebaid, inc.* Vita Stacii Tolonensis. Stacius papinius surculus genere aquitanus nobili exortus prosapia inter primos ciuium Tolosane urbis. Est autem hec ciuitas Lugdunensis Gallie ad occidentalem plagam sita. Hic itaque ab ipsis ut ita dicam puerilibus annis omnium arcium peritus... *exp.* Surculus quippe q̄ia [subpunct.] quasi sursum canens.

Incipitarius 274. The initials of the first words of four lines in the middle of the text are distanced from the rest of the text as if the lines were in verse.

PREVIOUS POSSESSOR: Johann Leonhard Hug (Constance 1765–Freiburg 1846), Verzeichnis Hug A nr. 13

BIBLIOGRAPHY: W. Hagenmaier, *Die lateinischen mittelalterliche Handschriften der Universitätsbibliothek Freiburg im Breisgau*, Kataloge der Universitätsbibliothek Freiburg im Breisgau 1.3 (Wiesbaden, 1980), 112–13; D. Anderson 1994.123 (brief mention)

Autopsy

Genève

Genève, Bibliotheca Bodmeriana; see Cologny-Genève

201 Genève, Bibliothèque [de Genève](#), Lat. 96

XII–XIII s. *Thebaid*

Parchment, written in France; 99 ff.; 235x119 [173x61]. A single column of 50 lines, ruled horizontally and vertically by a sharp point. Full marginal commentary.

DECORATION: On 1r, there is a 24-line vitiform box initial. Other 5- to 13-line initials with simple elaborations.

- a. 1r: a 12-line general argument to the *Thebaid, inc.* Papinii Svrsvli Stacii prologus incipit. Associat profugus... *exp.* duodenus et ignes.

Incipitarius 22

- b. 1r–98r: *Thebaid, inc.* Papinii Svrsvli Sacii [sic] primus liber incipit. Fraternas... *exp.* honores. Pampinii Sursuli Stacii Thebaidos XII liber explicit.

Books 2–[5](#) and [7–8](#) are preceded by their respective *argumenta antiqua* (Incipitarius 27) (that for Book 12 is added in the margin by a contemporary hand; lines 3.*arg.*5–7 are added in the margin). Book 9 is preceded by its respective line of the *Associat* general argument (Incipitarius 22). Marginal notes are of Group 12III, with some notes similar to ones in Edinburgh, 18.5.12 (cf. c. below). Sweeney describes the commentary as an “extremely corrupt” version of Lactantius Placidus.

Notes: 1.1: “Bene dicit profanis quia vetitus erat germanos odia retinere...” 1.11: “Pentheus Agaue filius aspernabatur Liberi patris Semele [] misteria. Quare iratus Liber patri et matri Agaue furorem inmisit. Que... Pentheum filium suum necauit. Et bene dixit cognata: Agaue enim Semele, Antigone [] me sorores fuerunt Thebane.”

- c. 98v: a summary of the myth of Oedipus, *inc.* Laius Thebanus rex Iocastam reginam duxit... *exp.* et penitus in cauerna latuit, malumque filiis imprecatus est.

Incipitarius 155

- d. 98v: a 12-line general argument to the *Thebaid, inc.* <S>oluitur in primo... *exp.* uincere Thebas. Hec pie scripta tenus doctor dictauit Alenus.

Incipitarius 253